arXiv:0810.5012v1 [math.DG] 28 Oct 2008

Mean Curvature flow in Higher Co-dimension

Kuo-Wei Lee* and Yng-Ing Lee**

*Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan
email: d93221007@ntu.edu.tw
**Department of Mathematics and Taida Institute of Mathematical Sciences,
National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan
National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Taipei Office
email: yilee@math.ntu.edu.tw

Abstract

We make several improvements on the results of M.-T. Wang in [8] and his joint paper with M.-P. Tsui [7] concerning the long time existence and convergence for solutions of mean curvature flow in higher co-dimension. Both the curvature condition and lower bound of $*\Omega$ are weakened. New applications are also obtained.

1 Introduction

From the first variation formula of area for a submanifold in a Riemannian manifold, we can consider the mean curvature vector as the negative gradient of the area functional. The area of the submanifold will decrease most rapidly if we deform the submanifold in the direction of its mean curvature vector. Such a deformation is called mean curvature flow. It is a very nature way to find minimal submanifolds, or canonical representatives. The study of mean curvature flow/curve shortening flow is very active and has much advance in the past thirty years. It started from the work of Brakke [1] and the paper of Huisken [3] opened a new era on the mean curvature flow of hypersurface. New developments were obtained in recent years on mean curvature flow in higher co-dimension. Since our work mainly focuses on generalizing the results in [7] and [8], we do not intend to list all important developments and papers on mean curvature flow here. Please refer to the papers [7, 8] and the reference therein.

In this note, we prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1 *Let* (N_1, g) *and* (N_2, h) *be two compact Riemannian manifolds, and* f *be a smooth map from* N_1 *to* N_2*. Assume that* $K_{N_1} \geq k_1$ *and* $K_{N_2} \leq k_2$ *for two constants* k_1 *and* k_2*, where* K_{N_1} *and* K_{N_2} *are the sectional curvature of* N_1 *and* N_2 *respectively. Suppose either* $k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \leq 0$*, or* $k_1 \geq k_2 > 0$*, then the following results hold:*

(i) If $\frac{\det((g+f^*h)_{ij})}{\det(g_{ij})} < 4$*, then the mean curvature flow of the graph of* f *remains a graph of a map and exists for all time.*

(ii) Furthermore, if $k_1 > 0$*, then the mean curvature flow converges smoothly to the graph of a constant map.*

Theorem 2 *Assume the same conditions as in Theorem 1. Then the following results hold:*

(i) If f *is a smooth area decreasing map from* N_1 *to* N_2*, then the mean curvature flow of the graph of* f *remains the graph of an area decreasing map, and exists for all time.*

(ii) Furthermore, if $k_1 > 0$*, then the mean curvature flow converges smoothly to the graph of a constant map.*

In Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 , we generalize the curvature conditions on N_1 and N_2 of the main theorems in [8] and [7] from constant sectional curvature to varied ones. Moreover, in Theorem 1 the upper bound on $\frac{\det((g+f^*h)_{ij})}{\det(g_{ij})}$ in [8] is relaxed from 2 to 4, which should also be observed from [7]. But since it is not mentioned and proved there, for completeness we treat this generalization as well. We also want to remark that the correct condition (which is related to $*\Omega$) in [8] should be $\frac{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}}{\sqrt{\det((g+f^*h)_{ij})}}$, instead of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det((g+f^*h)_{ij})}}$.

We can apply Theorem 2 to show

Corollary 1 *Let* N_1, N_2 *be compact manifolds and dim* $N_1 \geq 2$*. Suppose that there exist Riemannian metrics* g_1 *and* g_2 *on* N_1 *and* N_2 *with sectional curvature* $K_{N_1(g_1)} > 0$ *and* $K_{N_2(g_2)} \leq 0$*. Then any map from* N_1 *to* N_2 *must be homotopic to a constant map.*

Corollary 2 *Let* $(N_1, g_1), (N_2, g_2)$ *be compact Riemannian manifolds with* $K_{N_1(g_1)} \geq k_1$*,* $K_{N_2(g_2)} \leq k_2$*, and both* k_1 *and* k_2 *are positive constants. If the*

2-dilation of $f : (N_1, g_1) \to (N_2, g_2)$ is less than $\frac{k_1}{k_2}$, then f is homotopic to a constant map.

We made most of the observations in this paper a few years ago and explained the arguments to M.-T. Wang and M.-P. Tsui in 2004 when the second author visited them in Columbia University. We thank M.-T. Wang for suggesting us to write up this note. A version of Theorem 2 in pseudo-Riemannian case is obtained recently in [5].

To prove Theorem 1 and 2, we first need to show that the solution of mean curvature flow remains the graph of a map satisfying the same constraint as the initial map. This step depends on the curvature condition. Once we obtain the inequality in the first step, similar argument as in [8] shows that the solution exists for all time. A refined inequality is needed to show that $*\Omega$ will converge to 1 as t tends to infinity. We also need the curvature condition in this part. The last step, which is to show that the limit is a graph of a constant map, is the same as in [8].

We list basic definitions and properties in §2 as preliminaries. Theorem 1 is proved in §3 and for completeness we also sketch the argument for the part which is similar to [8]. In §4, we discuss the area decreasing case and prove Theorem 2. The applications are given in §5.

2 Preliminaries

Assume that N_1 and N_2 are two compact Riemannian manifolds with metric g and h, and of dimension n and m respectively. Let $f : N_1 \to N_2$ be a smooth map and denote the graph by Σ. Then Σ is an embedded submanifold in the product manifold $M = N_1 \times N_2$ with $F = \text{id.} \times f : N_1 \to M$.

A smooth family $F_t : N_1 \to M$ is called a mean curvature flow of Σ if it satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{\partial F_t(x)}{\partial t}\right)^{\perp} = H(x,t) \\ F_0(N_1) = \Sigma \end{cases}$$

where H is the mean curvature vector of $F_t(N_1) = \Sigma_t$ and $(\cdot)^{\perp}$ denotes the projection onto the normal bundle $N\Sigma_t$ of Σ_t. By standard theories, the flow has short time existence.

Let Ω be a parallel n-form on M. We can evaluate this n-form on Σ_t. Choose orthonormal frames $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ for $T\Sigma_t$ and $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+m}$ on $N\Sigma_t$. The following evolution equation for Ω is derived by M.-T. Wang :

Proposition 1 *[8] If F_t is an n-dimensional mean curvature flow of Σ in M and Ω is a parallel n-form on M. Then $\Omega_{1\cdots n} = \Omega(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Omega_{1\cdots n} =& \Delta\Omega_{1\cdots n} + \Omega_{1\cdots n}\left(\sum_{\alpha,i,k}(h_{ik}^{\alpha})^2\right) \\ & -2\sum_{\alpha<\beta,k}\left(\Omega_{\alpha\beta 3\cdots n}h_{1k}^{\alpha}h_{2k}^{\beta} + \Omega_{\alpha 2\beta\cdots n}h_{1k}^{\alpha}h_{3k}^{\beta} + \cdots + \Omega_{1\cdots(n-2)\alpha\beta}h_{(n-1)k}^{\alpha}h_{nk}^{\beta}\right) \\ & -\sum_{\alpha,k}\left(\Omega_{\alpha 2\cdots n}R_{\alpha kk1} + \cdots + \Omega_{1\cdots(n-1)\alpha}R_{\alpha kkn}\right) \qquad (1)\end{aligned}$$

where Δ denotes the time-dependent Laplacian on Σ_t, $h_{ij}^{\alpha} = \langle \nabla_{e_i}^{M} e_j, e_\alpha \rangle$ is the second fundamental form, and R is the curvature tensor of $M = N_1 \times N_2$ with the product metric $g + h$.

Remark 1 *Here we use the same convention as in [8] that*

$$\begin{aligned}&R(X,Y)Z = -\nabla_X\nabla_Y Z + \nabla_Y\nabla_X Z + \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z \\ &R_{ijkl} = \langle R(e_k, e_l)e_i, e_j\rangle\end{aligned}$$

and the sectional curvature is $K(e_k, e_i) = \langle R(e_k, e_i)e_k, e_i\rangle$, where $\{e_i\}$ are orthonormal.

Since $M = N_1 \times N_2$ is a product manifold, the volume form Ω_1 of N_1 can be extended as a parallel n-form on M. At any point p on Σ_t, we have $*\Omega = \Omega_1(e_1, \ldots, e_n) = \Omega_1(\pi_1(e_1), \ldots, \pi_1(e_n))$, which is the Jacobian of the projection from $T_p\Sigma_t$ to $T_{\pi_1(p)}N_1$. By the implicit function theorem, we know $*\Omega > 0$ near p if and only if Σ_t is locally a graph over N_1 near p.

When Σ_t is the graph of $f_t : N_1 \to N_2$, by the singular value decomposition theorem, there exist an orthonormal basis $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ for $T_{\pi_1(p)}N_1$ and $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+m}$ for $T_{\pi_2(p)}N_2$ so that $df_t(a_i) = \lambda_i a_{n+i}$ for $1 \le i \le r$, and $df_t(a_i) = 0$ for $r \le i \le n$. Note that $r \le \min(n, m)$ is the rank of df_t at p, and λ_is' are the eigenvalues of $\sqrt{(df_t)^T df_t}$. Hence $\lambda_i \ge 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We can use $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+m}$ to construct special orthonormal bases $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ on

$T_p\Sigma_t$ and $\{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+m}$ on $N_p\Sigma_t$ as follows:

$$E_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_i^2}}(a_i + \lambda_i a_{n+i}) & \text{if } 1 \le i \le r \\ a_i & \text{if } r+1 \le i \le n \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

$$E_{n+q} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_q^2}}(a_{n+q} - \lambda_q a_q) & \text{if } 1 \le q \le r \\ a_{n+q} & \text{if } r+1 \le q \le m \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Thus,

$$*\Omega = \Omega_1(\pi_1(E_1), \ldots, \pi_1(E_n)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^n (1+\lambda_i^2)}}.$$

With these new bases (2) and (3), we can rewrite (1) as follows. This evolution equation is derived in [8] and here we express the formula in a general form.

Proposition 2 *[8] Suppose $M = N_1 \times N_2$ with the product metric $g + h$ and Ω is the parallel extension of the volume form of N_1. Let Σ be an embedded submanifold in M and be a graph over N_1. If the mean curvature flow of Σ is a graph over N_1, then $*\Omega$ satisfies the following equation:*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} *\Omega = \Delta *\Omega + *\Omega |A|^2 + *\Omega \left\{ 2 \sum_{k, i<j} \lambda_i \lambda_j h_{ik}^{n+j} h_{jk}^{n+i} - 2 \sum_{k, i<j} \lambda_i \lambda_j h_{ik}^{n+i} h_{jk}^{n+j} \right\}$$
$$+ *\Omega \sum_{i,k} \left(\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} \langle R_1(a_k, a_i) a_k, a_i \rangle - \frac{\lambda_i^2 \lambda_k^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} \langle R_2(a_{n+k}, a_{n+i}) a_{n+k}, a_{n+i} \rangle \right) \tag{4}$$

where $|A|^2$ denotes the norm square of the second fundamental form, and R_1, R_2 denote the curvature tensors on N_1, N_2 with metric g, h respectively.

Proof. From the evolution equation (1) and bases (2), (3), one has

$$\Omega_{1\cdots\alpha\cdots\beta\cdots n} h_{ik}^\alpha h_{jk}^\beta = *\Omega \lambda_i \lambda_j \left(h_{ik}^{n+i} h_{jk}^{n+j} - h_{jk}^{n+i} h_{ik}^{n+j} \right)$$
$$\Omega_{1\cdots\alpha\cdots n} = - *\Omega \lambda_i$$
$$R_{(n+i)kki} = \frac{-\lambda_i \lambda_k^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} \langle R_2(a_{n+k}, a_{n+i}) a_{n+i}, a_{n+k} \rangle + \frac{\lambda_i}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} \langle R_1(a_k, a_i) a_i, a_k \rangle$$

The evolution equation (4) thus follows directly. □

When $*\Omega > 0$, one can consider the evolution equation of $\ln *\Omega$ instead and have the following:

Proposition 3 *[7] The evolution equation (4) can be rewritten as the form:*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ln *\Omega = \Delta \ln *\Omega + |A|^2 + \sum_{i,k}\lambda_i^2\left(h_{ik}^{n+i}\right)^2 + 2\sum_{k,i<j}\lambda_i\lambda_j h_{ik}^{n+j}h_{jk}^{n+i}$$
$$+\sum_{i,k}\left(\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)}\langle R_1(a_k,a_i)a_k,a_i\rangle - \frac{\lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)}\langle R_2(a_{n+k},a_{n+i})a_{n+k},a_{n+i}\rangle\right) \tag{5}$$

Proof. Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ln *\Omega = \frac{1}{*\Omega}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}*\Omega\right)$, it implies $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}*\Omega = *\Omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ln *\Omega\right)$. Similarly, one has

$$\Delta \ln *\Omega = \frac{\Delta *\Omega}{*\Omega} - \frac{|\nabla *\Omega|^2}{|*\Omega|^2} = \frac{\Delta *\Omega}{*\Omega} - \frac{|\Omega_{1\cdots n,k}|^2}{|*\Omega|^2} = \frac{\Delta *\Omega}{*\Omega} - \left|\sum_{i,k}\lambda_i h_{ik}^{n+i}\right|^2$$

or

$$\Delta *\Omega = *\Omega(\Delta \ln *\Omega) + *\Omega\left(\sum_{i,k}\lambda_i h_{ik}^{n+i}\right)^2.$$

Plugging these expressions into equation (4) and dividing $*\Omega$ on both sides, the equation (5) is then obtained. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Now we are ready to prove

Theorem 1 *Let (N_1, g) and (N_2, h) be two compact Riemannian manifolds, and f be a smooth map from N_1 to N_2. Assume that $K_{N_1} \geq k_1$ and $K_{N_2} \leq k_2$ for two constants k_1 and k_2, where K_{N_1} and K_{N_2} are the sectional curvature of N_1 and N_2 respectively. Suppose either $k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \leq 0$, or $k_1 \geq k_2 > 0$, then the following results hold:*

- *(i) If $\frac{\det((g+f^*h)_{ij})}{\det(g_{ij})} < 4$, then the mean curvature flow of the graph of f remains a graph of a map and exists for all time.*
- *(ii) Furthermore, if $k_1 > 0$, then the mean curvature flow converges smoothly to the graph of a constant map.*

Proof of (i): For convenience, we write equation (5) as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ln *\Omega = \Delta \ln *\Omega + \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II}, \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I} &= \text{second fundamental form terms}\\
&= |A|^2 + \sum_{i,k} \lambda_i^2 \left(h_{ik}^{n+i}\right)^2 + 2\sum_{k,i<j} \lambda_i \lambda_j h_{ik}^{n+j} h_{jk}^{n+i}\\
\mathrm{II} &= \text{curvature tensor terms}\\
&= \sum_{i,k}\left(\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)}\langle R_1(a_k,a_i)a_k,a_i\rangle - \frac{\lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)}\langle R_2(a_{n+k},a_{n+i})a_{n+k},a_{n+i}\rangle\right)\\
&= \sum_{i,k\neq i}\left(\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)}K_{N_1}(a_k,a_i) - \frac{\lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)}K_{N_2}(a_{n+k},a_{n+i})\right)
\end{aligned}$$

If we can show there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ln *\Omega \geq \Delta \ln *\Omega + \delta |A|^2, \tag{7}$$

by the maximum principle (the minimum version), $\min_{\Sigma_t} \ln *\Omega$ is nondecreasing in t, and $*\Omega \geq \min_{\Sigma_{t=0}} *\Omega > 0$. Thus Σ_t remains the graph of a map $f_t : N_1 \to N_2$ whenever the flow exists. Moreover, since

$$*\,\Omega = \frac{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}}{\sqrt{\det((g+f^*h)_{ij})}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^n (1+\lambda_i^2)}}, \tag{8}$$

we have $\min_{\Sigma_{t=0}} *\Omega > \frac{1}{2}$, and thus $\min_{\Sigma_t} *\Omega > \frac{1}{2}$ along the flow as well.

So we first aim at proving equation (7). From (8) and the compactness of N_1, it follows that $\prod_{i=1}^n (1+\lambda_i^2) \leq 4 - \varepsilon$ on $\Sigma_{t=0}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. By continuity and the short time existence of the flow, the solution remains the graph of a map and satisfies $\prod_{i=1}^n (1+\lambda_i^2) \leq 4 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for small t.

In particular, when $i \neq j$, $(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_j^2) \leq 4 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. By mean inequality, we have $|\lambda_i \lambda_j| \leq 1 - \delta$ for $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{8} > 0, i \neq j$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I} \geq& \delta |A|^2 + (1-\delta)\sum_{i,j,k}\left(h_{jk}^{n+i}\right)^2 - 2(1-\delta)\sum_{k,i<j}\left|h_{jk}^{n+i}h_{ik}^{n+j}\right|\\
\geq& \delta |A|^2 + (1-\delta)\sum_{k,i<j}\left(\left|h_{jk}^{n+i}\right| - \left|h_{ik}^{n+j}\right|\right)^2\\
\geq& \delta |A|^2
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

For curvature tensor terms,

(a) If $k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \leq 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{II} \geq \sum_{i,k\neq i} \left(\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} k_1 - \frac{\lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} k_2 \right) \geq 0$$

(b) If $k_1 \geq k_2 > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{II} &\geq \sum_{i,k\neq i} \left(\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} k_1 - \frac{\lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} k_2 \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i,k\neq i} \left(\frac{\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} \right) k_2 = \sum_{i<k} \left(\frac{\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_k^2 - 2\lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} \right) k_2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\lambda_i\lambda_k| < 1$,

$$\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_k^2 - 2\lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2 = (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)^2 + 2\lambda_i\lambda_k - 2\lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2 = (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)^2 + 2\lambda_i\lambda_k(1 - \lambda_i\lambda_k) \geq 0$$

Hence $\mathrm{II} \geq 0$.

Therefore (7) holds for small t. It follows that in fact $*\Omega \geq \min_{\Sigma_{t=0}} *\Omega > \frac{1}{\sqrt{4-\varepsilon}}$ for small t. Thus we can continue the same argument to conclude that the solution remains the graph of a map and satisfies $*\Omega \geq \min_{\Sigma_{t=0}} *\Omega > \frac{1}{\sqrt{4-\varepsilon}}$ whenever the flow exists.

Then by choosing $u = \dfrac{\ln *\Omega - \ln \Omega_0 + c}{-\ln \Omega_0 + c}$ with $c > 0$ to replace $*\Omega$, the same proof as in [8] leads to the long-time existence of the flow. The only thing needed in the proof is equation (7).

The idea goes as follows: To detect a possible singularity, say (y_0, t_0), one first isometrically embeds M into $\mathbb{R}^N$ by Nash theorem, and introduces the backward heat kernel from Huisken [4]

$$\rho_{y_0,t_0} = \frac{1}{(4\pi(t_0 - t))^{\frac{n}{2}}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|y-y_0|^2}{4(t_0-t)}}$$

Direct computation and using equation (7) give

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Sigma_t} (1-u)\rho_{y_0,t_0} d\mu_t \leq C - \delta \int_{\Sigma_t} |A|^2 \rho_{y_0,t_0} d\mu_t \tag{10}$$

for some $C > 0$. Therefore, $\lim_{t \to t_0} \int_{\Sigma_t} (1-u)\rho_{y_0,t_0} d\mu_t$ exists. Consider the parabolic dilation D_λ at (y_0, t_0), that is,

$$(y,t) \xmapsto{D_\lambda} (\lambda(y-y_0), \lambda^2(t-t_0)),$$

and set $s = \lambda^2(t-t_0)$. Denote the corresponding submanifold and volume form after dilation by Σ_s^λ and $d\mu_s^\lambda$ respectively. Because u is invariant under parabolic dilation, inequality (10) becomes

$$\frac{d}{ds}\int_{\Sigma_s^\lambda} (1-u)\rho_{0,0} d\mu_s^\lambda \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^2} - \delta \int_{\Sigma_s^\lambda} \rho_{0,0}|A|^2 d\mu_s^\lambda \tag{11}$$

With further discussion from (11), one can find $\lambda_j \to \infty$ and $s_j \to -1$ such that

$$\int_{\Sigma_{s_j}^{\lambda_j} \cap K} |A|^2 d\mu_{s_j}^{\lambda_j} \to 0 \text{ as } j \to \infty \tag{12}$$

for any compact set K. One can conclude that $\Sigma_{s_j}^{\lambda_j} \to \Sigma_{-1}^\infty$ as Radon measure and Σ_{-1}^∞ is the graph of a linear function with further investigation. Therefore,

$$\lim_{t \to t_0} \int \rho_{y_0,t_0} d\mu_t = \lim_{j \to \infty} \int \rho_{0,0} d\mu_{s_j}^{\lambda_j} = 1$$

It implies that (y_0, t_0) is a regular point by White's theorem in [9], which is a contradiction. Thus no singularity can occur along the flow. We refer to [8] for the detailed argument.

□

Proof of (ii): We use the same expression as in (6) and will first show that there exists $c_0 > 0$ which depends on ε, k_1, n such that

$$\mathrm{II} \geq c_0 \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \geq c_0 \ln\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \left(1+\lambda_i^2\right)\right) = -2c_0 \ln *\Omega.$$

(a) If $k_1 > 0$, and $k_2 \leq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{II} \geq & \sum_{i,k\neq i} \left(\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} k_1 - \frac{\lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} k_2 \right) \\
\geq & \sum_{i,k\neq i} \frac{\lambda_i^2 k_1}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} \\
\geq & \frac{k_1(n-1)}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 \\
\geq & \frac{k_1(n-1)}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(1+\lambda_i^2)
\end{aligned}$$

since $\dfrac{1}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} \geq \dfrac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1+\lambda_i^2)} \geq \dfrac{1}{4}$ and $\lambda_i^2 \geq \ln(1+\lambda_i^2)$. Hence we can take $c_0 = \dfrac{k_1(n-1)}{4}$.

(b) If $k_1 \geq k_2 > 0$, we need to estimate curvature terms more carefully. Recall

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{II} \geq & \sum_{i,k\neq i} \left(\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} k_1 - \frac{\lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} k_2 \right) \\
\geq & \sum_{i,k\neq i} \left(\frac{\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} \right) k_1 = \sum_{i<k} \left(\frac{\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_k^2 - 2\lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_k^2)} \right) k_1
\end{aligned}$$

As observed in the proof of (i), we have $|\lambda_i\lambda_k| < 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus,

$$\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_k^2 - 2\lambda_i^2\lambda_k^2 = \lambda_i\lambda_k(\lambda_i - \lambda_k)^2 + (1 - \lambda_i\lambda_k)(\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_k^2) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}(\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_k^2)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{II} \geq \frac{\varepsilon k_1}{16} \sum_{i<k} (\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_k^2) = \frac{\varepsilon k_1(n-1)}{16} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 \geq \frac{\varepsilon k_1(n-1)}{16} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(1+\lambda_i^2)$$

We can take $c_0 = \dfrac{\varepsilon k_1(n-1)}{16}$.

Hence we can rewrite (6) as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\ln *\Omega \geq \Delta \ln *\Omega - 2c_0 \ln *\Omega \tag{13}$$

Consider a function $f(t)$ which depends only on t and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt}f(t) = -2c_0 f(t) \\ f(0) = \min\limits_{\Sigma_{t=0}} \ln *\Omega \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

which gives $f(t) = f(0)\mathrm{e}^{-2c_0 t}$. From the inequality (13) and (14), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\ln *\Omega - f(t)) \geq \Delta(\ln *\Omega - f(t)) - 2c_0(\ln *\Omega - f(t)).$$

Because $\min_{\Sigma_{t=0}}(\ln *\Omega - f(t)) \geq 0$, by the maximum principle, we have $\min\limits_{\Sigma_{t>0}}(\ln *\Omega - f(t)) \geq 0$. Hence $0 \geq \ln *\Omega \geq f(0)\mathrm{e}^{-2c_0 t}$ on $\Sigma_{t\geq 0}$. Letting $t \to \infty$, it gives $*\Omega \to 1$. Then one can apply the same argument as in [8] to conclude that the solution converges smoothly to a constant map at infinity. We outline the proof for this fact in next paragraph.

Given $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there exists T such that $*\Omega > \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon_1}}$ for $t > T$. It implies $\sum_i \lambda_i^2 < \varepsilon_1$ for $t > T$. The same method as in (9) and taking δ larger, for example $\delta = \dfrac{1}{2}$, gives $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial t} *\Omega \geq \Delta *\Omega + \dfrac{1}{2} *\Omega |A|^2$. The evolution equation for the second fundamental form is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|A|^2 \leq \Delta |A|^2 - 2|\nabla A|^2 + K_1|A|^4 + K_2|A|^2$$

for some constants K_1, K_2. The $K_1|A|^4$ term will cause some trouble, but one can consider the evolution inequality of $(*\Omega)^{-2p}|A|^2$, which is

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left((*\Omega)^{-2p}|A|^2\right) \\ \leq &\Delta\left((*\Omega)^{-2p}|A|^2\right) - (*\Omega)^{-2p}\nabla\left((*\Omega)^{-2p}\right)\cdot\nabla\left((*\Omega)^{-2p}|A|^2\right) \\ &+ (*\Omega)^{-2p}|A|^2\left(|A|^2\left(K_1 - p + 2p(p-1)n\varepsilon_1\right) + K_2\right) \end{aligned}$$

Choose ε_1 small, and a suitable $p = p(n, \varepsilon_1)$ so that the coefficient of the highest order nonlinear term in the evolution inequality of $(*\Omega)^{-2p}|A|^2$ is negative. By the maximum principle, one gets an upper bound of $\max_{\Sigma_t} |A|^2$ and concludes that $\max_{\Sigma_t} |A|^2 \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. It implies that the mean curvature flow of Σ converges to a totally geodesic submanifold of M. Since $*\Omega \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$, we have $|df_t| \to 0$ and the limit is a constant map.

□

Remark 2 *When $n = 1$, then $k_1 = 0$ and (ii) cannot apply. In fact, term II vanishes in this case and one cannot obtain the convergence using the same method.*

4 The area-decreasing case

In this section, we mainly follow the discussion and set-up in [7]. Consider a parallel symmetric two tensor S on M defined as

$$S(X, Y) = g(\pi_1(X), \pi_1(Y)) - h(\pi_2(X), \pi_2(Y)),$$

where π_1 and π_2 are the projections into TN_1 and TN_2 respectively. The same calculation as for $*\Omega$ leads to the following evolution equation for S on Σ_t, which appears in [7],

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) S_{ij} = &- h^\alpha_{il} h^\alpha_{kk} S_{lj} - h^\alpha_{jl} h^\alpha_{kk} S_{li} + R_{kik\alpha} S_{\alpha j} + R_{kjk\alpha} S_{\alpha i} \\ &+ h^\alpha_{kl} h^\alpha_{ki} S_{lj} + h^\alpha_{kl} h^\alpha_{kj} S_{li} - 2 h^\alpha_{ki} h^\beta_{kj} S_{\alpha\beta}\end{aligned}$$

where $S_{ij} = S(e_i, e_j), S_{\alpha i} = S(e_\alpha, e_i), S_{\alpha\beta} = S(e_\alpha, e_\beta), i, j = 1, \ldots, n; \alpha, \beta = n+1, \ldots, n+m$.

One can simply the equation in terms of evolving orthonormal frames. Denote $\bar{g} = g + h$ which is the product metric on $M = N_1 \times N_2$. Suppose that $\bar{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_a, \ldots, F_n\}$ are orthonormal frames on $T_p\Sigma_t$. We evolve $\bar{F}$ by the formula

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F^i_a = \bar{g}^{ij} \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta} h^\alpha_{kj} H^\beta F^k_a \tag{15}$$

where α and β are in the normal direction and H^β is the β component of the mean curvature vector.

Let $S_{ab} = S_{ij}F_a^iF_b^j = S(F_a, F_b)$ be the component of S in $\bar{F}$. Then S_{ab} satisfies the following equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) S_{ab} = R_{cac\alpha}S_{\alpha b} + R_{cbc\alpha}S_{\alpha a} + h^\alpha_{cd}h^\alpha_{ca}S_{db} + h^\alpha_{cd}h^\alpha_{cb}S_{da} - 2h^\alpha_{ca}h^\beta_{cb}S_{\alpha\beta} \tag{16}$$

We remark that when we use the bases (2) and (3), the expression of S is

$$S = S(E_i, E_j)_{1\le i,j\le n+m} = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 & D & 0 \\ 0 & I_{(n-r)\times(n-r)} & 0 & 0 \\ D & 0 & -B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -I_{(m-r)\times(m-r)} \end{pmatrix}$$

where B and D are r by r matrices with

$$B_{ij} = S(E_i, E_j) = \frac{1-\lambda_i^2}{1+\lambda_i^2}\delta_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad D_{ij} = S(E_i, E_{n+j}) = -\frac{2\lambda_i}{1+\lambda_i^2}\delta_{ij}.$$

A map $f : N_1 \to N_2$ is called *area-decreasing* if

$$\left|\wedge^2 df\right|(x) = \sup_{|u\wedge v|=1} \left|\left(\wedge^2 df\right)(u\wedge v)\right| = \sup_{|u\wedge v|=1} |df(u)\wedge df(v)| < 1.$$

In the bases (2) and (3), the area-decreasing condition is equivalent to

$$\left|\wedge^2 df\right|(x) = \sup_{i<j} \lambda_i\lambda_j < 1 \Leftrightarrow |\lambda_i\lambda_j| < 1 \;\forall\, i \neq j\,.$$

On the other hand, the sum of any two eigenvalues of S is

$$\frac{1-\lambda_i^2}{1+\lambda_i^2} + \frac{1-\lambda_j^2}{1+\lambda_j^2} = \frac{2(1-\lambda_i^2\lambda_j^2)}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_j^2)}$$

Thus, the area-decreasing condition is equivalent to two positivity of S .

Since S is bilinear, by the Riesz representation theorem, we can identify S with a self-adjoint operator(still denoted by S). Hence, for the orthonormal frame $\bar{F}$, we have $S_{ab} = S(F_a, F_b) = \bar{g}(S(F_a), F_b)$, which implies $S(F_a) = S_{ab}F_b$.

With this identification, we can construct a new self-adjoint operator $S^{[2]} = S \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes S$ on $T_p\Sigma_t \wedge T_p\Sigma_t$, which is defined by $S^{[2]}(w_1 \wedge w_2) = S(w_1) \wedge w_2 + w_1 \wedge S(w_2)$. If $\mu_1 \le \cdots \le \mu_n$ are the eigenvalues of S with the corresponding eigenvectors $v_1, \ldots, v_n$, then $S^{[2]}$ has eigenvalues $u_{i_1} + u_{i_2}$ with eigenvectors $v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2}, i_1 \leq i_2$. Thus, the positivity of $S^{[2]}$ is equivalent to the area decreasing condition. Similarly, for the metric $\bar{g}$, we can construct a self-adjoint operator $\bar{g}^{[2]} = \bar{g} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \bar{g}$.

Note that $\{F_a \wedge F_b\}_{a<b}$ form an orthonormal basis for $\wedge^2 T\Sigma_t$ and

$$\begin{aligned} S^{[2]}(F_a \wedge F_b) =& S(F_a) \wedge F_b + F_a \wedge S(F_b) = S_{ac}F_c \wedge F_b + F_a \wedge S_{ac}F_c \\ =& \sum_{c<d}(S_{ac}\delta_{bd} + S_{bd}\delta_{ac} - S_{ad}\delta_{bc} - S_{bc}\delta_{ad})F_c \wedge F_d \qquad (17) \\ \bar{g}^{[2]}(F_a \wedge F_b) =& \sum_{c<d}(2\delta_{ac}\delta_{bd} - 2\delta_{ad}\delta_{bc})F_c \wedge F_d \end{aligned}$$

We can improve the main theorem in [7] to the following

Theorem 2 *Let (N_1, g) and (N_2, h) be two compact Riemannian manifolds, and f be a smooth map from N_1 to N_2. Assume that $K_{N_1} \geq k_1$ and $K_{N_2} \leq k_2$ for two constants k_1 and k_2, where K_{N_1} and K_{N_2} are the sectional curvature of N_1 and N_2 respectively. Suppose either $k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \leq 0$, or $k_1 \geq k_2 > 0$, then the following results hold:*

- *(i) If f is a smooth area decreasing map from N_1 to N_2, then the mean curvature flow of the graph of f remains the graph of an area decreasing map, and exists for all time.*
- *(ii) Furthermore, if $k_1 > 0$, then the mean curvature flow converges smoothly to the graph of a constant map.*

Proof. Notice that we already prove in section 3 that Σ_t remains the graph of a map under the assumption whenever the flow exists. Now we want to prove that the area-decreasing property is also preserved along the mean curvature flow. Since the initial map is area-decreasing, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $S^{[2]} - \varepsilon\bar{g}^{[2]} \geq 0$. We want to show that the property $S^{[2]} - \varepsilon\bar{g}^{[2]}$ is preserved along the mean curvature flow. Let $M_\eta = S^{[2]} - \varepsilon\bar{g}^{[2]} + \eta t\bar{g}^{[2]}$. Suppose the mean curvature flow exists on $[0, T)$. Consider any $T_1 < T$, it suffices to show that $M_\eta > 0$ on $[0, T_1]$ for all $\eta < \frac{\varepsilon}{2T_1}$. If it does not hold, there will be a first time $0 < t_0 < T_1$, where M_η is nonnegative definite, and there is a null eigenvector $V = V^{ab}F_a \wedge F_b$ for M_η at some point $x_0 \in \Sigma_{t_0}$. We extend V to a parallel vector field in a neighborhood of x_0 along geodesic emanating out of x_0, and defined V on $[0, T)$ independent of t.

Define a function $f = M_\eta(V, V)$, then the function f has the following properties at (x_0, t_0):

(F1) $f=0$ (V is the null-eigenvector)

(F2) $\nabla f=0$ (At $t=t_0$, f attains minimum on x_0)

(F3) $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta\right)f\le 0$ (At $t=t_0$, f attains minimum on x_0)

At (x_0,t_0), we choose the orthonormal basis $\{F_a\}$ as $\{E_i\}$ in (2), and rearrange them such that the singular values λ_i satisfy $\lambda_1\ge\lambda_2\ge\cdots\ge\lambda_n\ge 0$. Thus,

$$S_{nn}=\frac{1-\lambda_n^2}{1+\lambda_n^2}\ge\cdots\ge S_{22}=\frac{1-\lambda_2^2}{1+\lambda_2^2}\ge S_{11}=\frac{1-\lambda_1^2}{1+\lambda_1^2}$$

Hence the null eigenvector must be $V=E_1\wedge E_2$. From (F1), it follows that $f=S_{11}+S_{22}+2(\eta t_0-\varepsilon)=0$ at (x_0,t_0) which implies $S_{11}+S_{22}=2(\varepsilon-\eta t_0)>0$. Thus, we have

$$\lambda_1\lambda_2<1,\quad \text{and}\quad \lambda_i<1\quad \text{for}\quad i\ge 2 \tag{18}$$

Use (15) to evolve $\{F_a\}$. Then at (x_0,t_0), direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta\right)f=&2\eta+2R_{k1k\alpha}S_{\alpha 1}+2R_{k2k\alpha}S_{\alpha 2}\\&+2h^\alpha_{kj}h^\alpha_{k1}S_{j1}+2h^\alpha_{kj}h^\alpha_{k2}S_{j2}-2h^\alpha_{k1}h^\beta_{k1}S_{\alpha\beta}-2h^\alpha_{k2}h^\beta_{k2}S_{\alpha\beta}\\=&2\eta+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{II}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{I}=&\text{curvature tensor terms}\\=&2R_{k1k\alpha}S_{\alpha 1}+2R_{k2k\alpha}S_{\alpha 2}=2R_{k1k(n+1)}S_{(n+1)1}+2R_{k2k(n+2)}S_{(n+2)2}\\=&\sum_{k\ne 1}\frac{2\lambda_1^2}{(1+\lambda_k^2)(1+\lambda_1^2)^2}\langle R_1(a_k,a_1)a_k,a_1\rangle+\sum_{k\ne 2}\frac{2\lambda_2^2}{(1+\lambda_k^2)(1+\lambda_2^2)^2}\langle R_1(a_k,a_2)a_k,a_2\rangle\\&-\sum_{k\ne 1}\frac{2\lambda_k^2\lambda_1^2}{(1+\lambda_k^2)(1+\lambda_1^2)^2}\langle R_2(a_k,a_1)a_k,a_1\rangle-\sum_{k\ne 2}\frac{2\lambda_k^2\lambda_2^2}{(1+\lambda_k^2)(1+\lambda_2^2)^2}\langle R_2(a_k,a_2)a_k,a_2\rangle\\\ge&\sum_{k\ne 1}\frac{2\lambda_1^2}{(1+\lambda_k^2)(1+\lambda_1^2)^2}k_1+\sum_{k\ne 2}\frac{2\lambda_2^2}{(1+\lambda_k^2)(1+\lambda_2^2)^2}k_1\\&-\sum_{k\ne 1}\frac{2\lambda_k^2\lambda_1^2}{(1+\lambda_k^2)(1+\lambda_1^2)^2}k_2-\sum_{k\ne 2}\frac{2\lambda_k^2\lambda_2^2}{(1+\lambda_k^2)(1+\lambda_2^2)^2}k_2\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{II}=&\text{second fundamental form terms}\\=&2h^\alpha_{kj}h^\alpha_{k1}S_{j1}+2h^\alpha_{kj}h^\alpha_{k2}S_{j2}-2h^\alpha_{k1}h^\beta_{k1}S_{\alpha\beta}-2h^\alpha_{k2}h^\beta_{k2}S_{\alpha\beta}\end{aligned}$$

For curvature tensor terms I,

(a) If $k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \leq 0$, we have $\mathrm{I} \geq 0$.

(b) If $k_1 \geq k_2 > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I} &\geq k_1 \left(\sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{2\lambda_1^2 - 2\lambda_k^2\lambda_1^2}{(1+\lambda_k^2)(1+\lambda_1^2)^2} + \sum_{k \neq 2} \frac{2\lambda_2^2 - 2\lambda_k^2\lambda_2^2}{(1+\lambda_k^2)(1+\lambda_2^2)^2} \right) \\
&= k_1 \left(\frac{2\lambda_1^2 - 2\lambda_2^2\lambda_1^2}{(1+\lambda_2^2)(1+\lambda_1^2)^2} + \frac{2\lambda_2^2 - 2\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2}{(1+\lambda_1^2)(1+\lambda_2^2)^2} \right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \sum_{k \geq 3} \frac{2\lambda_1^2 - 2\lambda_k^2\lambda_1^2}{(1+\lambda_k^2)(1+\lambda_1^2)^2} + \sum_{k \geq 3} \frac{2\lambda_2^2 - 2\lambda_k^2\lambda_2^2}{(1+\lambda_k^2)(1+\lambda_2^2)^2} \right) \\
&\geq k_1 \left(\frac{2\lambda_1^2 + 2\lambda_2^2 - 4\lambda_2^2\lambda_1^2}{(1+\lambda_1^2)^3} \right) + \sum_{k \geq 3} k_1 \left(\frac{2\lambda_1^2(1-\lambda_k^2)}{(1+\lambda_k^2)(1+\lambda_1^2)^2} + \frac{2\lambda_2^2(1-\lambda_k^2)}{(1+\lambda_k^2)(1+\lambda_2^2)^2} \right) \\
&\geq k_1 \left(\frac{2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 + 4\lambda_1\lambda_2(1-\lambda_1\lambda_2)}{(1+\lambda_1^2)^3} \right) \qquad \text{(here we use (18))} \\
&\geq 0 \qquad \text{(here we use (18))}
\end{aligned}$$

Since the second fundamental form terms do not involve curvatures, II is nonnegative as proved in [7]. Since both $\mathrm{I} \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{II} \geq 0$ at (x_0, t_0), we have $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) f \geq 2\eta > 0$ at (x_0, t_0), which contradicts to (F3). Thus the area-decreasing property is preserved by the mean curvature flow. We can also apply the same proof to obtain long-time existence and convergence as in section 3. The theorem is therefore proved. □

5 Application

Corollary 1 *Let N_1, N_2 be compact manifolds and dim $N_1 \geq 2$. Suppose that there exist Riemannian metrics g_1 and g_2 on N_1 and N_2 with sectional curvature $K_{N_1(g_1)} > 0$ and $K_{N_2(g_2)} \leq 0$. Then any map from N_1 to N_2 must be homotopic to a constant map.*

Proof. For any given map $f : N_1 \to N_2$, we can consider the singular value decomposition of *df* with respect to g_1 and g_2. Denote the corresponding singular values by $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$. Since N_1 is compact, there exists a positive constant L such that $\lambda_i \lambda_j \leq L$. Define a new metric $\bar{g}_1 = 2Lg_1$ on N_1. The singular values of df with respect to $\bar{g}_1$ and g_2 will be $\bar{\lambda}_1 = \frac{\lambda_1}{\sqrt{2L}}, \ldots, \bar{\lambda}_n = \frac{\lambda_n}{\sqrt{2L}}$. Therefore, we have $\bar{\lambda}_i \bar{\lambda}_j \leq \frac{1}{2} < 1$ and $K_{N_1(\bar{g}_1)} > 0$. Applying the mean curvature flow to the graph of f in $(N_1, \bar{g}_1) \times (N_2, g_2)$, by Theorem 2 we conclude that f is homotopic to a constant map. $\square$

For general cases, we can obtain the null homotopic property in terms of 2-dilation. Recall that the 2-dilation (or more generally, k-dilation) of a map f between N_1 and N_2 is said at most D if f maps each 2-dimensional (k-dimensional) submanifold in N_1 with volume V to an image with volume at most DV. The 2-dilation can also be defined in terms of df, which is equal to the supremum of the norm $| \wedge^2 df|$.

We have the following corollary:

Corollary 2 *Let $(N_1, g_1), (N_2, g_2)$ be compact Riemannian manifolds with $K_{N_1(g_1)} \geq k_1$, $K_{N_2(g_2)} \leq k_2$, and both k_1 and k_2 are positive constants. If the 2-dilation of $f : (N_1, g_1) \to (N_2, g_2)$ is less than $\dfrac{k_1}{k_2}$, then f is homotopic to a constant map.*

Proof. Consider the metrics $\bar{g}_1 = k_1 g_1$ and $\bar{g}_2 = k_2 g_2$. Then the sectional curvatures satisfy $K_{N_1(\bar{g}_1)} \geq 1, K_{N_2(\bar{g}_2)} \leq 1$, and the map $f : (N_1, \bar{g}_1) \to (N_2, \bar{g}_2)$ satisfies $| \wedge^2 df| < \frac{k_1}{k_2} \cdot \frac{k_2}{k_1} = 1$, which is an area-decreasing mapping. By Theorem 2, f is homotopic to a constant map. $\square$

Assume (N_1, g_1) has nonnegative Ricci curvature and dim $N_1 = 2$. A classical result in harmonic theory tells us that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if a harmonic map $f : (N_1, g_1) \to (N_2, g_2)$ satisfies $E(f) = \int_{N_1} \|df\|^2 < \varepsilon$, then f is a constant map. As a final application of Theorem 2, one can prove a similar result. The idea is first to obtain the pointwise bound of df by the total energy. Then apply Corollary 2 to conclude that f is homotopic to a constant map when $K(g_1) > 0$. Such a pointwise estimate is obtained by Schoen [6] when dim $N_1 = 2$, f is harmonic, and the energy is sufficiently small in small balls. We remark that this argument works in higher dimension whenever the pointwise estimate is obtained.

References

[1] K.A. Brakke, *The motion of a surface by its mean curvature.* Mathematical Notes, Princeton University Press, 1978.

[2] L. Guth, *Homotopically non-trivial maps with small k-dilation.* arXiv:0709.1241v1

[3] G. Huisken, *Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres.* J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984), no. 1, 237–266.

[4] G. Huisken, *Asymptotic behavior for singulairites of the mean curvature flow.* J. Differential Geom. **31** (1990), no. 1, 285–299.

[5] Guanghan Li; Isabel M.C. Salavessa, *Mean curvature flow of spacelike graphs in Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds.* arXiv:0804.0783

[6] R. Schoen, *Analytic aspects of the harmonic map problem*, Seminar on Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, ed. S. S. Chern, Springer-Verlag, (1985), 321-358

[7] M.-P. Tsui; M.-T. Wang, *Mean curvature flows and isotopy of maps between spheres.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **57** (2004), no. 8, 1110–1126.

[8] M.-T. Wang, *Long-time existence and convergence of graphic mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension.* Invent. Math. **148** (2002), no. 3, 525–543.

[9] B. White, *A local regularity theorem for classical mean curvature flow.* Ann. of Math. (2) **161** (2005), no. 3, 1487-1519.